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Objective: To provide certified athletic trainers, physicians, and other health care professionals with recommendations on best practices for the prevention of overuse sports injuries in pediatric athletes (aged 6–18 years).

Background: Participation in sports by the pediatric population has grown tremendously over the years. Although the health benefits of participation in competitive and recreational athletic events are numerous, one adverse consequence is sport-related injury. Overuse or repetitive trauma injuries represent approximately 50% of all pediatric sport-related injuries. It is speculated that more than half of these injuries may be preventable with simple approaches.

Recommendations: Recommendations are provided based on current evidence regarding pediatric injury surveillance, identification of risk factors for injury, preparticipation physical examinations, proper supervision and education (coaching and medical), sport alterations, training and conditioning programs, and delayed specialization.
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Overuse injuries in the pediatric population represent a significant health care concern. Some reports and clinical observations1,2 indicate that 50% of pediatric patients present to sports medicine clinics for chronic injuries. In addition to their costs (direct and indirect medical expenditures), these injuries also result in lost participation time, numerous physician visits, and lengthy and often recurring rehabilitation.3–5 Furthermore, athletes who sustain recurrent overuse injuries may stop participating in sports and recreational activities, thus potentially adding to the already increasing number of sedentary individuals and the obesity epidemic.

In the pediatric population, overuse injuries can include growth-related disorders and those resulting from repeated microtrauma.6 Growth-related disorders include Osgood-Schlatter disease, Sever disease, and other apophyseal injuries. Overuse injuries resulting from repetitive microtrauma and chronic submaximal loading of tissues include stress fractures, similar to those described in adult athletes.6 However, overlap exists between broad classifications; some growth-related disorders may occur in sedentary children but much less often than in their active peers.6 Regardless of the cause, these injuries can result in significant pain and disability. Although little research has identified causative factors for overuse injuries in children and adolescents, these injuries may be caused by training errors, improper technique, excessive sports training, inadequate rest, muscle weakness and imbalances, and early specialization.6–10 More than half of all reported overuse injuries are speculated to be preventable,5 but few empirical data support this statistic.

The purpose of this position statement is to provide certified athletic trainers, physicians, and other health care professionals with current best practice recommendations regarding the prevention of overuse injuries in pediatric athletes, including children (aged 6–12 years) and adolescents (aged 13–18 years).11 Even though specific age ranges have been identified, it is important to note that the occurrence of puberty, followed by skeletal maturity, is a far more important marker of maturity than chronologic age when managing pediatric overuse injuries. In particular, this position statement will provide recommendations based on current evidence (Table 1) pertaining to injury surveillance (eg, incidence, prevalence), identification of risk factors for injury, preparticipation physical examinations (PPEs), proper supervision and education (coaching and medical), sport alterations, training and conditioning programs, and delayed specialization.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Injury Surveillance

1. Research should be devoted to improved understanding of the prevalence, incidence, and economic cost of overuse injuries among pediatric athletes in the United States and should focus on prevention and treatment of these overuse injuries.12,13 Evidence Category: C
Table 1. Strength of Recommendation Taxonomy (SORT)\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strength of Recommendation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Recommendation based on consistent and good-quality patient-oriented evidence\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Recommendation based on inconsistent or limited-quality experimental evidence\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Recommendation based on consensus, usual practice, opinion, disease-oriented evidence,\textsuperscript{b} or case series for studies of diagnosis, treatment, prevention, or screening</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


\textsuperscript{b} Patient-oriented evidence measures outcomes that matter to patients: morbidity, mortality, symptom improvement, cost reduction, quality of life. Disease-oriented evidence measures intermediate, physiologic, or surrogate endpoints that may or may not reflect improvements in patient outcomes (ie, blood pressure, blood chemistry, physiological function, and pathological findings).

2. Funding and support for research into the prevalence, incidence, prevention, and treatment of pediatric overuse injuries should be increased.\textsuperscript{12,13} Evidence Category: C

3. All athletic health care providers should participate in injury-surveillance efforts, including accurate documentation in keeping with good clinical practice, and Web-based and other registries.\textsuperscript{12–14} Evidence Category: C

4. Resources and training for athletic health care providers (eg, certified athletic trainer, physician, physical therapist) to collect high-quality injury data must be developed.\textsuperscript{12,13} Evidence Category: C

Preparticipation Physical Examination

1. The PPE should be used as a means to screen each athlete for potential risk factors, including injury history, stature, maturity, joint stability, strength, and flexibility, which may be important for preventing recurrent injuries.\textsuperscript{5,6,15,16} Evidence Category: C

2. Children and adolescents with noted deficits on the PPE should be referred to appropriate medical specialists and health care providers (eg, physician specialist, certified athletic trainer, physical therapist) for further evaluation and corrective rehabilitation.\textsuperscript{5,15} Evidence Category: C

3. Robust documentation and injury-surveillance systems need to be developed to link PPE findings with injury, thereby identifying which measured factors may confer increased risk.\textsuperscript{14,17} Evidence Category: C

4. More research is needed to improve the effectiveness of the PPE, including strategies to implement the beneficial components more consistently and efficiently in the context of broader health-supervision and morbidity-prevention efforts for adolescents.\textsuperscript{14,17} Evidence Category: C

Identification of Risk Factors

1. Arm pain, fatigue, and decreased throwing performance should be recognized by athletes, coaches, parents, and medical personnel as early warning signs of potential overuse injuries in pediatric throwers.\textsuperscript{18,19} Evidence Category: A

2. Decreasing the volume of pitches as a means to prevent overuse injuries in throwing athletes is recommended.\textsuperscript{19–21} Evidence Category: A

3. Health care professionals should recognize that certain anatomic factors may predispose the athlete to overuse injury, including leg-length discrepancies, genu valgus, genu varus, pelvic rotation, and generalized joint hypermobility.\textsuperscript{9,10,22–24} Evidence Category: C

Coach Education and Medical Supervision

1. Pediatric athletes, parents, and coaches should be educated about the signs and symptoms of overuse injuries, and athletes should be instructed to notify an adult when such symptoms occur.\textsuperscript{18,19,25} Evidence Category: A

2. Coaches of youth and high school sports should have certifications or credentials identifying specific knowledge in areas related to sports safety, sports techniques and skills, psychosocial aspects of childhood and adolescence, growth and development, and common health and medical concerns.\textsuperscript{13,16,26,27} Evidence Category: C

3. Organized youth and interscholastic sports should be supervised by adults, ideally those with knowledge and training in monitoring for overuse injuries.\textsuperscript{5,13} Evidence Category: C

4. Medical personnel with training and education in pediatric sports injuries should be identified as referral sources to recognize, evaluate, and rehabilitate suspected overuse injuries.\textsuperscript{14,16} Evidence Category: C

Sport Alterations

1. Emerging evidence indicates that the sheer volume of sport activity, whether measured as number of throwing repetitions\textsuperscript{18–21,28} or quantity of time participating,\textsuperscript{29,30} is the most consistent predictor of overuse injury. Efforts should be made to limit the total amount of repetitive sport activity engaged in by pediatric athletes to prevent or reduce overuse injuries. Evidence Category: B

2. Although injury thresholds are yet to be determined for specific activities and age ranges, some data suggest a general guideline of no more than 16 to
20 hours per week of vigorous physical activity by pediatric athletes.\textsuperscript{30} \textit{Evidence Category: B}

3. Alterations or modifications to the existing rules for adult sports may help to prevent overuse injuries in pediatric athletes and should be considered by coaches and administrators for sports in which youth rules are lacking.\textsuperscript{5,13,31} \textit{Evidence Category: C}

4. Adults should ensure that pediatric athletes play only 1 overhead throwing sport at a time, avoid playing that sport year-round, and use caution when combining pitching with other demanding throwing positions (eg, pitch 1 day and catch the next day) to ensure adequate time for recovery.\textsuperscript{7,28,32} \textit{Evidence Category: C}

5. Parents and coaches should restrict the use of breaking pitches in order to prevent pitching-related arm injuries.\textsuperscript{20} If an individual pitcher can throw breaking pitches on a limited basis and remain symptom free, then it may be allowed; however, if the use of this pitch precedes the development of any throwing-related symptoms, it should be immediately terminated and the athlete should seek medical attention. \textit{Evidence Category: C}

6. Pitching limits should be established for players 9 to 14 years old: full-effort throwing (ie, in competition) should be limited to 75 pitches per game, 600 pitches per season, and 2000 to 3000 pitches per year.\textsuperscript{20,33} \textit{Evidence Category: B}

7. Pitchers between 15 and 18 years of age should throw no more than 90 pitches per game and pitch no more than 2 games per week.\textsuperscript{21,33} \textit{Evidence Category: C}

Training and Conditioning Programs

1. Preseason and in-season preventive training programs focusing on neuromuscular control, balance, coordination, flexibility, and strengthening of the lower extremities are advocated for reducing overuse injury risk, especially among pediatric athletes with a previous history of injury.\textsuperscript{34–36} \textit{Evidence Category: A}

2. All pediatric athletes should begin participating in a general fitness program, emphasizing endurance, flexibility, and strengthening, at least 2 months before the sport season starts.\textsuperscript{5,9,37,38} \textit{Evidence Category: C}

3. Pediatric athletes should have at least 1 to 2 days off per week from competitive practices, competitions, and sport-specific training.\textsuperscript{12,31} Coaches and administrators should consider these required days off when organizing season schedules. \textit{Evidence Category: C}

4. Pediatric athletes should participate on only 1 team of the same sport per season when participation on 2 or more teams of the same sport (eg, high school and club) would involve practices or games (or both) more than 5 days per week. \textit{Evidence Category: C}

5. Progression of training intensity, load, time, and distance should only increase by 10% each week to allow for adequate adaptation and to avoid over-load.\textsuperscript{5,31} \textit{Evidence Category: C}

6. After injury or delayed time without throwing, pediatric throwing athletes should pursue a gradual return-to-throwing program over several weeks before beginning or resuming full throwing activities.\textsuperscript{7,32} \textit{Evidence Category: C}

Delayed Specialization

1. Pediatric athletes should be encouraged to participate in multiple sports and recreational activities throughout the year to enhance general fitness and aid in motor development.\textsuperscript{5,13} \textit{Evidence Category: C}

2. Pediatric athletes should take time off between sport seasons and 2 to 3 nonconsecutive months away from a specific sport if they participate in that sport year-round.\textsuperscript{31} \textit{Evidence Category: C}

3. Pediatric athletes who participate in simultaneous (eg, involvement in high school and club sports at the same time) or consecutive seasons of the same sport should follow the recommended guidelines with respect to the cumulative amount of time or pitches over the year.\textsuperscript{31} \textit{Evidence Category: C}

BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE REVIEW

Repetitive stress on the musculoskeletal system without adequate and appropriate preparation and rest can result in chronic or overuse injuries in athletes of any age. In children and adolescents, this fact is complicated by the growth process, which can result in a unique set of injuries among pediatric athletes. Growth-related injuries most frequently affect the epiphyseal plates, where long bone growth occurs, and the apophyses, which serve as the bony attachments for musculotendinous units.\textsuperscript{6} Compression is usually responsible for epiphyseal injuries, whereas repeated tension or traction forces injure the apophyses.\textsuperscript{39} Differences in growth rates between the epipysis and apophyses and between bone and muscle tissue are factors in apophyseal injury risk. These different growth rates may lead to relative myotendinous inflexibility and increased traction forces on the apophyses, contributing to traction apophyseal injuries.\textsuperscript{40,41} In throwers, repetitive microtrauma can lead to further bony insult, resulting in capitellar osteochondritis dissecans, a localized lesion of uncertain cause that involves the separation of articular cartilage and subchondral bone.\textsuperscript{42,43} Although most cases of osteochondritis dissecans resolve without consequence, lesions that do not heal after surgical intervention or a period of reduced repetitive impact loading may be responsible for future sequelae, including degenerative changes.\textsuperscript{44}

Growth-center injuries may have long-term physical consequences and affect normal growth and development.\textsuperscript{16,40} However, little high-quality evidence supports or refutes this suggestion. In a systematic review of repetitive loading in gymnasts, females were at risk for stress-related injuries of the distal radius, including distal radial physeal arrest, but the lower-quality evidence of most of the included studies limited the strength of conclusions regarding whether physeal injury can inhibit radial growth. In a more recent systematic review,\textsuperscript{16,12...
Injury Surveillance

Before implementing any new prevention strategies or aiming to improve injury management, we must have adequate studies of epidemiology and a good understanding of the risk factors for pediatric overuse injuries. The literature regarding the epidemiology of overuse injuries in pediatric athletes is scarce at best, particularly the literature concerning American children. However, the epidemiology of chronic injuries has been investigated in several international studies. In a 2003 Japanese study, the authors reviewed 196 stress fractures (125 males, 71 females) among 10,726 patients over a 10-year period. The average age of those with stress fractures was 20.1 years (range, 10–46 years), with 42.6% of patients between the ages of 15 and 19 years. The location of the stress fracture was somewhat specific to sport: the olecranon in baseball players, ribs in rowers, and tibial shaft stress fractures in ballet dancers, runners, and tennis, basketball, and volleyball players. Basketball players also sustained stress fractures to the metatarsals, whereas track athletes and soccer players incurred stress fractures to the tibial shaft and pubic bone. The authors concluded that stress fractures were common in high-functioning adolescent athletes, with similar proportions among male and female athletes. In another Japanese study of stress fractures in 208 athletes under the age of 20 years, the researchers found that the peak age of occurrence was 16 years, the most frequent site was the tibial shaft, and basketball was the sport most commonly associated with stress fractures. A 2006 retrospective study of stress fractures among 25 juveniles demonstrated that the age of onset was 12.9 ± 4.3 years (range, 3–17 years) and the tibia was most often affected (48%, n = 13), followed by the metatarsals (18.5%, n = 5). Using data from the High School Report Injuries Online (RIO) injury surveillance system, Fernandez et al reported that 4350 athletic injuries occurred among athletes participating in 9 high school sports during 1 academic year. Although these authors did not focus solely on overuse injuries, they noted that 53% (n = 2298) of these injuries were to the lower extremity; 2% of these injuries were classified as tendinitis and 1.3% as stress fractures. Specific investigations of the epidemiology of overuse injuries are warranted in the high school population.

Although studies on the general prevalence of pediatric overuse injuries are lacking, investigators have addressed the sport-specific prevalence of overuse injuries. Bravic-Simunjak et al retrospectively surveyed 469 elite junior figure skaters in Croatia, with 42% of female skaters and 45% of male skaters self-reporting an overuse injury at some point in their skating careers. In female singles ice skaters, the most frequent injury was a stress fracture (approximately 20%), followed by patellar tendinitis (14.9%). Male singles ice skaters were more likely to experience jumper’s knee (16%), followed by Osgood-Schlatter disease (14.2%). Maffulli et al reported on overuse injuries of the elbow among elite gymnasts in the United Kingdom and found that 12 elbows of 8 patients (aged 11–15 years) displayed a spectrum of radiologic abnormalities, including olecranon physis widening and epiphyseal fragmentation.

In a recent investigation of Norwegian soccer players, the rates of overuse injuries were 0.2 (95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.1, 0.4) and 0.4 (95% CI = 0.0, 0.8) per 1000 player-hours in 6- to 12-year-old boys and girls, respectively. An increase in the incidence of overuse injuries was noted in an older cohort (13–16 years old) of boys (0.7, 95% CI = 0.4, 1.0) and girls (0.7, 95% CI = 0.3, 1.1) per 1000 player-hours, with the relative risk (RR) of overuse injury calculated as 2.9 (95% CI = 1.3, 6.4) and 1.7 (95% CI = 0.6, 5.5) in older boys and girls, respectively. In addition, 87% of the reported overuse injuries resulted in time loss from soccer that ranged from 1 to more than 21 days. Similarly, LeGall et al investigated the incidence of soccer-related injuries in elite French youth players and found that those younger than age 14 had more injuries during training sessions (ie, practices) and more growth-related overuse injuries, whereas older athletes more often sustained injuries during games. Overuse injuries accounted for 17.2% of all injuries and were mainly classified as tendinopathies (n = 108, 9.4%), osteochondroses (n = 72,
6.3%), or other overuse (n = 19, 1.6%). In a follow-up study of adolescent female soccer athletes over 8 seasons, overuse injuries accounted for 13.4% of all injuries.52

We need to better understand the prevalence, incidence, and economic impact of overuse injuries among pediatric athletes in the United States. Although few data are currently available about overuse injuries, the more than 7.5 million young people who participate in interscholastic sports53 and millions of others who participate in youth sports programs across the country represent a very large at-risk population worthy of the expenditure of time, effort, money, and improved surveillance by clinicians and researchers alike.

Preparticipation Physical Examination

A consensus has emerged that the PPE, as defined by several leading medical and allied health specialty societies, is the primary means of identifying at-risk athletes and initiating preventive measures.5,6,14,15,17 The main objectives of the PPE are to detect life-threatening or disabling medical or musculoskeletal conditions and to screen athletes for medical or musculoskeletal conditions that may predispose them to injury or illness.17 In the context of this position statement, the history and musculoskeletal examination are important in detecting and possibly preventing overuse injuries. Additional information on other aspects of the PPE can be obtained from the Preparticipation Physical Evaluation monograph.17

The history portion of the PPE should be used to recognize previous injuries and other possible signs of overtraining. Many overuse injuries can be identified from the answers provided in the history component.17 Furthermore, a history of stress fractures or chronic or recurring musculoskeletal injuries may be associated with nutritional insufficiencies, which should be investigated as needed.17

The physical examination of the musculoskeletal system should include evaluation of the athlete’s physical stature and maturity (Tanner stage) and any deficits in strength and flexibility.5,17 In addition, the stability, symmetry, and range of motion of all joints and the relative symmetry, strength, and flexibility of all major muscle groups should be evaluated. These musculoskeletal assessments include range-of-motion tests, manual muscle tests, joint stress tests, flexibility tests, and balance tests, compared bilaterally. The Preparticipation Physical Evaluation17 describes the general 14-point musculoskeletal screening examination as an acceptable approach to evaluate the musculoskeletal system in athletes who are asymptomatic and without a history of previous injury. If the athlete describes a previous injury in the history portion or pain or positive findings are noted on the general screening examination, a more thorough joint-specific examination should be conducted.17 Ideally, a biomechanical assessment or functional screening test(s) should be incorporated to evaluate overall posture, gait mechanics, core stability, and functional strength.54 This may include either a single functional screening test, such as the overhead squat test,55 or a series of tasks56 to identify abnormal movement patterns. However, these tasks have yet to be validated in the pediatric population. Any deficits or concerns should be discussed with the athlete and parent, along with recommendations for correcting these deficits, including referral to a physician specialist, athletic trainer, or physical therapist if needed.5,14,15 Future researchers should focus on improving aspects of the musculoskeletal history, validating the general 14-point musculoskeletal screening examination and incorporating additional screening tests as possible predictors of overuse injuries.

A well-designed PPE can serve as a screening process from which prevention mechanisms can be developed.57 This process should be simple to administer and reliable and should use a combination of anthropometric and biomechanical measures to identify risk factors. Unfortunately, the PPE is often incompletely and inconsistently delivered. In most states, the PPE is mandated for high school athletes, but it is often not a requirement for those participating in club-based or youth sports. Required elements in the history and physical examination vary widely and are often not consistent with published national guidelines.58 The Preparticipation Physical Evaluation17 suggests that the ideal location for the PPE is within the athlete’s primary care physician’s office; however, it acknowledges other approaches, including the coordinated medical team examination and, although not recommended, the locker room-based examination. As a consequence of the lack of mandates, many adolescents seek their PPEs with a variety of providers and may be diverted from a medical “home,” where they can receive ongoing health immunizations and screening for the common psychosocial morbidities of adolescence.59 The lack of continuity also precludes connection with the rehabilitative follow-up deemed essential to injury prevention.60

Finally, the PPE may not be able to meet criteria for an appropriate screening process, even if implemented perfectly, because it is neither sensitive nor specific enough to adequately detect the life-threatening medical conditions that are so exceedingly rare60 or, in its current state, predict the potential for overuse injuries. Furthermore, as noted throughout this position statement, the evidence base is lacking as to which historical, anthropometric, and biomechanical findings confer increased musculoskeletal injury risk and which may be amenable to preventive interventions.

Identification of Risk Factors

Little rigorous research has been conducted to investigate potential risk factors for overuse injuries in pediatric athletes. Table 2 lists a number of suspected growth-related intrinsic and extrinsic risk factors for overuse injuries, although these classifications and listings of risk factors are primarily based on anecdotal evidence.5,61

One group10 attempted to identify accident-prone and overuse-prone profiles in young adults by prospectively investigating the effects of numerous physical and psychosocial characteristics on the rate of acute and overuse injuries. They developed an overuse-injury–prone profile for both males and females, which included physical factors such as a lack of stability (eg, decreased static strength coupled with laxity), muscle tightness, malalignment, more explosive strength, and large body size (ie, height and mass), and psychological traits including degree of carefulness, dedication, vitality, and sociability (Table 3).10 Many of these characteristics (eg, anatomical
alignment, flexibility, strength, speed) can be measured during a PPE or baseline fitness test, allowing clinicians to identify athletes potentially at risk for overuse injuries and to develop preventive measures.

Not surprisingly, baseball has been the most widely studied youth sport in the United States. Lyman et al. prospectively evaluated 9- to 12-year-old baseball pitchers for pain or soreness in the shoulder or elbow during or after a pitching outing. Over 2 seasons, shoulder or elbow pain was noted in 47% (n = 141) of the pitchers, with most of the pain complaints considered mild (ie, without loss of time in games or practices). The authors also provided some associated pain-related factors that may be important in identifying those potentially at risk for subsequent overuse injuries. Elbow pain was related to increased age, decreased height, increased mass, increased cumulative pitch counts, arm fatigue, decreased self-perceived performance, participation in a concurrent weightlifting program, and participation in additional baseball leagues. The presence of shoulder pain was associated with an increased number of pitches thrown in games, increased cumulative pitch counts, participating with arm fatigue, and decreased self-perceived performance. Both arm fatigue and self-perceived performance were risk factors for both elbow and shoulder pain. Therefore, pain should not be ignored, because it is often the first indicator of an overuse problem.

Rest should be incorporated in all programs; athletes who participated with arm fatigue were almost 6 times more likely to suffer from elbow pain and 4 times more likely to have shoulder pain that those who did not have arm fatigue.

A subsequent investigation of 3 suspected risk factors (pitch type, pitch count, and pitching mechanics) found that the use of breaking pitches and high pitch counts increased the risk of both elbow and shoulder pain among youth pitchers. Specifically, the risk of elbow pain among pitchers using the slider increased 86% and the risk of shoulder pain in those throwing curveballs increased 52%. In addition, higher single-game pitch counts and higher cumulative (season-long) game pitch counts were associated with an increased risk of shoulder pain. This association between game pitch count and shoulder injury was strongest among 9- to 10-year-old and 13- to 14-year-old pitchers. Interestingly, pitching mechanics were not significantly associated with either elbow or shoulder pain in any of the age groups studied. The authors concluded that changeups remain the safest pitch for 9- to 14-year-olds to throw and that pitch limits, rather than inning limits, may be a better indicator of when pitchers should be removed from pitching to allow adequate rest.

More recently, Olsen et al. investigated risk factors for shoulder and elbow injuries in adolescent pitchers. Group analyses between pitchers with or without elbow or shoulder injury revealed that a greater percentage of injured pitchers started at another position before pitching, pitched with arm fatigue, and continued to pitch even with arm pain. In addition, those who suffered an injury had a greater fastball speed and participated in a greater number of showcases (multiday, high-level events in which athletes may participate in numerous games within a short time span). A subsequent factor analysis revealed the following risk factors: participating in more than 8 months of competitive pitching (odds ratio [OR] = 5.05, 95% CI = 1.39, 18.32), throwing more than 80 pitches per appearance (OR = 3.83, 95% CI = 1.36, 10.77), having a fastball speed greater than 85 mph (136.8 kph) (OR = 2.58, 95% CI = 0.94, 7.02), and pitching either infrequently (OR = 4.04, 95% CI = 0.97, 16.74) or regularly (OR = 36.18, 95% CI = 5.92, 221.22) with arm fatigue.

With respect to lower extremity injuries, few authors have attempted to identify specific overuse-injury risk factors in pediatric athletes, and their findings are

### Table 2. Potential Risk Factors Predisposing Pediatric Athletes to Overuse Injuries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth-Related Factors</th>
<th>Intrinsic Factors</th>
<th>Extrinsic Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cartilage at growth plate is more susceptible to injury (eg, osteochondritis dissecans, apophysitis, physeal injuries)</td>
<td>Previous injury</td>
<td>Training and recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period of adolescent growth increases the risk of injury</td>
<td>Malalignment</td>
<td>Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Menstrual cycle</td>
<td>Poor technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychological issues</td>
<td>Psychological issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Muscle imbalances</td>
<td>Training errors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inflexibility</td>
<td>Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Muscle weakness</td>
<td>Sport-acquired deficiencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Instability</td>
<td>Conditioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level of play</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Height</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tanner stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Profiles of Overuse-Injury–Prone Male and Female Young Athletes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tall stature</td>
<td>Tall stature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endomorph body structure</td>
<td>Decreased upper extremity strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less static strength</td>
<td>Less static strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More explosive strength</td>
<td>More explosive strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased muscle flexibility</td>
<td>Increased muscle tightness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High degree of ligamentous laxity</td>
<td>Increased ligamentous laxity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Q angle</td>
<td>Greater leg-length discrepancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronation</td>
<td>Large Q angle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Profiles of Overuse-Injury–Prone Male and Female Young Athletes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tall stature</td>
<td>Tall stature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endomorph body structure</td>
<td>Decreased upper extremity strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less static strength</td>
<td>Less static strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More explosive strength</td>
<td>More explosive strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased muscle flexibility</td>
<td>Increased muscle tightness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High degree of ligamentous laxity</td>
<td>Increased ligamentous laxity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Q angle</td>
<td>Greater leg-length discrepancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronation</td>
<td>Large Q angle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a From DiFiori and O’Connor et al.

b From Lysens et al.
inconclusive. These studies are limited to research on median tibial stress syndrome (MTSS) and stress fractures.\textsuperscript{30,62,63} In 2 investigations of risk factors for MTSS in high school cross-country runners, predictive variables differed.\textsuperscript{62,63} One group\textsuperscript{62} found that athletes with MTSS had a greater navicular drop (6.6 mm) than those who were asymptomatic (3.6 mm) and that the combination of navicular drop and sex accurately predicted 78% of MTSS cases. However, resting calcaneal position, tibiofemoral varus, and gastrocnemius length (ie, tightness) were not predictive. A subsequent investigation\textsuperscript{63} revealed that sex and body mass index were predictors of MTSS, with the latter being the only predictor when controlling for orthotic use. Additionally, compared with those without an injury history, high school cross-country runners with a history of previous injury were 2 times more likely to report MTSS (OR = 2.18, 95% CI = 0.07, 6.4) and 3 times more likely to use orthotics (OR = 3.0, 95% CI = 0.09, 9.4).\textsuperscript{63} Correlates of stress fractures in a general population of female adolescents have also been researched and, although age had the strongest association with a stress-fracture history (27% to 29% increased odds for each year beyond age 11), participation in more than 16 hours per week of vigorous activity (OR = 1.88, 95% CI = 1.18, 3.03) and in high-impact physical activity, such as basketball, soccer, volleyball, running, tennis, or cheerleading (OR = 1.06, 95% CI = 1.03, 1.10), was also related to stress-fracture history.\textsuperscript{30} They reported a slight (but nonsignificant) increased risk for stress fracture in the most sedentary girls, a reminder that participation in impact-loading physical activity is important in this population because of its positive effects on bone mineral density.\textsuperscript{30}

In a subsequent clinic-based study of adolescent female athletes, only family history of osteoporosis or osteopenia was associated with stress fracture (OR = 2.96, 95% CI = 1.36, 6.45).\textsuperscript{64} However, in neither adolescent athlete investigation was stress fracture associated with irregular menstrual periods, as has been demonstrated in adult women athletes and military recruits.\textsuperscript{65} In combination, these investigations may begin to identify safe thresholds for participation in vigorous physical activity (16–20 h/wk). They also suggest that risk stratification must incorporate both intrinsic (eg, inherited skeletal quality) and extrinsic (eg, training volume) factors. Another area of focus concerning risk factors has been generalized joint hypermobility, which is characterized by mobility of multiple joints beyond the normal range of motion. Community prevalence of generalized joint hypermobility appears to depend on age, sex, and race, with reports ranging from 2% (adult Caucasian males) to 57% (African females of mixed ages).\textsuperscript{66} A considerable number of studies of rheumatology and pediatric clinic-based populations appear to demonstrate relationships between generalized joint hypermobility and insidious-onset arthralgia and fibromyalgia.\textsuperscript{67–75} Yet prospective studies of nonclinic populations are at best inconclusive as to whether joint hypermobility increases injury risk.

In a prospective study\textsuperscript{23} of 17-year-old military recruits, those with hypermobility had more injuries during boot camp than those who were not hypermobile. Another prospective study\textsuperscript{24} of youngsters 6 to 14 years old demonstrated that children with hypermobile joints had more complaints of joint pain than nonhypermobile children. A retrospective study\textsuperscript{76} of pediatric (aged 6–16 years) netball players in Australia showed that hypermobility was associated with an increased prevalence of self-reported injuries. In another small retrospective study\textsuperscript{77} including children and adults, more injuries were reported by hypermobile ballet dancers than by their nonhypermobile counterparts. In an attempt to describe overuse-prone profiles of young adults, Lysens et al\textsuperscript{10} reported that males and females with weak muscles, poor flexibility, and hypermobility may be at increased risk for overuse injuries.

Alternately, several prospective studies of mixed (child and adult) or adult athletic populations do not support the conclusion that joint hypermobility is related to injury risk. A prospective study\textsuperscript{78} of netball players aged 15 to 36 years demonstrated no differences in injuries based on hypermobility status. Studies of National Collegiate Athletic Association lacrosse players\textsuperscript{79} and professional soccer players\textsuperscript{80} also have indicated no differences in injuries based on hypermobility status. Finally, a retrospective study\textsuperscript{81} of female gymnasts aged 10 to 21 years found no relationship between hypermobility status and reported history of injuries.

Screening for generalized joint hypermobility is relatively easy using the methods first proposed by Carter and Wilkinson\textsuperscript{82} and later modified by Beighton and Horan.\textsuperscript{83} This multijoint active range-of-motion screening procedure is widely accepted (Table 4). Incorporating this screening into the PPE might add only a few minutes to each assessment, but its use should depend upon the time, cost, and level of experience of the examiner administering the PPE.

**Proper Supervision and Education**

Organizations sponsoring interscholastic or club-based athletics in which pediatric athletes participate have the responsibility to ensure adequate coaching and medical supervision.\textsuperscript{5,13,14} Proper supervision by coaches and enforcement of the rules of the sport (which includes adequate education of both coaches and officials) may serve as a means to decrease overuse injury risk in this age group.\textsuperscript{9,15,50} For example, Little League Baseball provides pitch-count regulation (Appendix A), tracking sheet (Appendix B), and pitching eligibility forms, all of which are easily accessible to youth baseball coaches. The guidelines mandating pitch-count limits are adapted from scientific evidence and are updated frequently as new research emerges.\textsuperscript{84} Moreover, proper medical supervision at competitions and practices may allow for early recognition of overuse injuries in the beginning stages to permit proper evaluation, referral, and rehabilitation before they result in time lost from participation.\textsuperscript{14,15}

Education of all athletes, parents, coaches, and officials regarding overuse injuries and preventive mechanisms is advocated. Athletes, parents, and coaches should all have knowledge of general signs and symptoms of overuse, including but not limited to a gradual onset of pain, pain presenting as an ache, no history of direct injury, stiffness or aching after or during training or competition, increasing periods of time for pain to resolve, point tenderness, visible swelling, missed training sessions as a result of the pain or injury, and a problem that persists.\textsuperscript{25}
Table 4. The Beighton and Horan Joint Mobility Indexa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Scoringb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wrist flexion, thumb opposition</td>
<td>Stabilize the distal portion of the forearm. The thumb being tested is passively abducted by the fingers of the opposite hand toward the volar aspect of the forearm with the wrist in flexion.</td>
<td>Score 1 point for each thumb that can be passively bent to touch the forearm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifth metacarpal extension</td>
<td>The patient sits with the arm in 80° of abduction and the elbow flexed to 90°. The forearm rests on the table in a pronated position. The fifth metacarpal is extended.</td>
<td>Score 1 point for each metacarpal that extends past 90°.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow extension</td>
<td>The patient sits with the shoulder flexed to 90° and the forearm supinated.</td>
<td>Score 1 point for each elbow that can actively hyperextend past 0°.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk and hip flexion</td>
<td>The patient stands and then flexes at the waist, attempting to touch the palms flat to the floor while keeping the knees either extended or hyperextended.</td>
<td>Score 1 point if the patient can bend at the waist and place the hands flat on the floor without bending the knees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee extension</td>
<td>The patient lies supine with 1–2 towels placed under the ankles.</td>
<td>Score 1 point for each knee that can passively hyperextend past 0°.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a From Carter and Wilkinson62 and Beighton and Horan.83
b Total possible points = 9.

These signs and symptoms should not be ignored as “growing pains” but should be taken seriously by the athlete, parent, and coach. Athletes involved in running-based sports should be educated regarding sensible training habits and the proper fit and selection of running shoes to reduce impact forces. Athletes involved in throwing sports should be educated as to the potential risk factors for upper extremity overuse injuries, with specific emphasis on using arm fatigue as an indicator to stop throwing. All athletes should be educated on proper exercise progression and should gradually increase time, distance, and intensity by the 10% rule (see “Training and Conditioning” section below).57

To our knowledge, no published studies have addressed the general knowledge of overuse injuries among coaches; however, reports describe the general lack of first aid, injury recognition, and management knowledge of high school and youth coaches. No mandated national coaching education program exists in the United States for youth sports, and the requirements for high school athletic coaches vary from state to state, with some requiring only first aid and cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) certification. However, numerous coaching education programs provide information related to proper biomechanics of sporting skills, nutrition, physical conditioning, development of athletes, and prevention, recognition, and management of injuries (Table 5). Completion of at least 1 of these courses is recommended for all coaches working with pediatric athletes. Additionally, coaches should be encouraged to maintain their certifications and participate in continuing education opportunities to remain current with the latest sports safety information.

**Sport Alterations**

Alterations or modifications to the existing rules for adults may prevent overuse injuries in children and adolescents.5,13,31 These modifications may be simple, including shorter quarters or halves, bases closer together,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5. Coaching Education Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Athletic Trainers’ Association Sports Safety for Youth Coaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Sports Education Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Center for Sports Safety (PREPARE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Red Cross</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Web Address | hss/courses/sports.html | http://www.nfhslearn.com/CourseDetail.aspx?courseID=1000 |
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less frequent games or practices, or pitch-count limits; or they may be more complex, such as the recommendation to match athletes by height, maturity, or skill as opposed to age.

Some experts are now moving away from the long-held and perhaps largely anecdotal belief that throwing breaking pitches is related to arm injuries in young baseball players. The only prospective study\(^{20}\) we were able to find appears to support this belief: pitchers throwing sliders had an 86% increased risk of elbow pain, and pitchers throwing curveballs had a 52% increased risk of shoulder pain. However, biomechanical studies comparing torque and moments generated by different types of pitches in 11- to 14-year-olds\(^{85}\) and 14- to 18-year-olds\(^{86}\) showed that the fastball imposed more demand than the curveball. Based on the results of these biomechanics studies, some researchers have postulated that throwing breaking pitches is not necessarily risky for young athletes. Yet it is important to recognize that the participants in these studies were healthy, with no history of arm injury, and, in the case of the Nissen et al\(^{86}\) study, perhaps slightly older than the players who are generally the target of the recommendation against throwing breaking balls.

Furthermore, pitching limits should be established for 9- to 14-year-olds, with full-effort (ie, competition) throwing limited to 75 pitches per game and 600 pitches per season.\(^{20}\) Young throwers should also have adequate rest after a pitching event and adjust pitch limits for those rest days accordingly (Table 6). Table 7 lists baseball-pitcher–specific modification recommendations.

In addition to Little League, other athletic governing bodies and organizations have instituted sport modifications. The US Cycling Federation has imposed gear-ratio limits for athletes between 10 and 16 years of age,\(^{54}\) limiting the maximal stress or effort in those at the lower end of that age range. Running organizations in Australia also have age-related regulations, including set distances in which younger athletes may participate. Adolescents can begin participating in 5-km (3.1-mile) races at age 12 and in 10-km (6.2-mile) races at age 14. Half-marathon (21.1-km; 13.1-mile) and marathon (42.2-km; 26.2-mile) distances can be run beginning at ages 15 to 16 and >18 years, respectively.\(^{54}\) As described in Table 8, USA Swimming has recommendations for the number of sessions per week and the length of each session for various levels of competitive age-group swimming.\(^{87}\)

### Table 6. Recommendations for Pitch Counts on the First 4 Days After a Pitching Event\(^{a}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>1 d Rest</th>
<th>2 d Rest</th>
<th>3 d Rest</th>
<th>4 d Rest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9–10</td>
<td>21–33</td>
<td>34–42</td>
<td>43–50</td>
<td>51+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–12</td>
<td>27–34</td>
<td>35–54</td>
<td>55–57</td>
<td>58+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–14</td>
<td>30–35</td>
<td>36–55</td>
<td>56–69</td>
<td>70+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–16</td>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>40–59</td>
<td>60–79</td>
<td>80+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17–18</td>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>40–59</td>
<td>60–89</td>
<td>90+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) From Andrews and Fleisig.\(^{21}\) Reprinted with permission from USA Baseball.

### Table 7. Suggested Sport-Modification Recommendations for Adolescent Pitchers\(^{a}\)

1. Avoid pitching with arm fatigue.
2. Avoid pitching with arm pain.
3. Avoid pitching too much. Future research needed, but the following general limits are
   a. Avoid pitching more than 80 pitches per game.
   b. Avoid competitive pitching more than 8 months of the year.
   c. Avoid pitching more than 2500 pitches in competition per year.
4. Pitchers with the following characteristics should be monitored closely for injury
   a. Those who regularly use anti-inflammatories to “prevent” injuries
   b. Regularly starting pitchers
   c. Pitchers who throw > 85 mph (137 kph)
   d. Taller and heavier pitchers
   e. Pitchers who warm up excessively
   f. Pitchers who participate in showcases


### Training and Conditioning

Proper training and conditioning, both before and during the season, may prevent overuse injuries. Unfortunately, in today’s society, many youngsters are not as active as previous generations, leading to a phenomenon of cultural deconditioning.\(^{88,89}\) There has been a general decline in physical activity, including free play, walking to school, and regular physical-education classes, with a concurrent increase in sedentary activities, including watching television, playing video games, and, in some cases, physical activity limited to sport participation. Athletes with poorer levels of general fitness or conditioning may not be able to tolerate the demands of training required for sport participation. Therefore, all pediatric athletes should begin by establishing a good general-fitness routine that encompasses strengthening, endurance, and flexibility.\(^{5,9,37}\) Sufficient participation in general strength, endurance, and flexibility training, as well as lifestyle physical fitness (eg, taking the stairs instead of the elevator), should precede sport-specific training.\(^{38}\) Once a general foundation of fitness has been established, athletes should begin to gradually increase their training loads. Pediatric athletes are advised to follow the 10% rule, which allows for no more than a 10% increase in the amount of training time, distance, repetitions, or load each week.\(^{5,31}\) For example, a runner who is currently running 15 miles/wk (24 km/wk) should only be allowed to increase mileage to 16.5 miles (27 km) the following week. Similarly, athletes participating in strength training should increase only either repetitions or weight by 10% each week, not both. The goal of the 10% rule is to allow the body to adjust gradually to increased training intensity.
More recently, preventive training programs have been targeted specifically at the pediatric athlete. Although the primary focus of many of these programs has often been for the prevention of noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injuries, several authors have also investigated whether overuse-injury risk could be reduced among program participants. In a prospective study of a structured warm-up program including technique training, neuromuscular control, and balance and strengthening exercises, Olsen et al. reported that participants had a reduction in overall injuries (RR = 0.49, 95% CI = 0.36, 0.68), lower limb injuries (RR = 0.51, 95% CI = 0.36, 0.74), and overuse injuries (RR = 0.43, 95% CI = 0.25, 0.75). Similarly, a program that focused on educating and training coaches to incorporate an overall-prevention mentality (consisting of improved warm-up, cool-down, taping unstable ankles, rehabilitation, promoting fair play, and a set of 10 exercises designed to improve joint stability, flexibility, strength, coordination, reaction time, and endurance) resulted in a reduction in both total injuries (0.76 ± 0.89 versus 1.18 ± 1.04, P < .01) and overuse injuries (0.26 ± 0.48 versus 0.44 ± 0.65, P < .05) per player-year. Specific to physically active adolescents, a 6-month, home-based balance-training program resulted in improvements in both static and dynamic balance among program participants. However, because of the limited number of injuries reported, no conclusions regarding the effectiveness of the program on reducing injuries could be drawn. Still, a clinically important difference was noted in self-reported injuries: program participants reported 3 (95% CI = 5, 35) injuries per 100 adolescents, compared with 17 (95% CI = 3, 24) in the control groups. Interestingly, the program was more effective in reducing injuries among those adolescents who reported sustaining an injury in the previous year, thus highlighting the need to identify injury history through a thorough PPE. In general, programs that are successful in reducing the risk of overuse injuries among pediatric athletes seem to include strengthening, neuromuscular control, flexibility exercises, balance, and technique training.

Delayed Specialization

One of the more controversial areas with respect to pediatric overuse injuries deals with the early specialization of athletes participating in the same sport year-round from a young age. Although little evidence-based research demonstrates that this practice has negative consequences on physical growth or psychological outcomes, many clinicians and health care organizations have advocated for diversity in sport participation or delayed specialization. It is theorized that participation in only 1 sport can result in increased risk for repetitive microtrauma and overuse or that multisport athletes who do not obtain adequate rest between daily activities or between seasons and those who participate in 2 or more sports that emphasize the same body part are at higher risk for overuse injuries than those in multiple sports with different emphases.

Young athletes who participate in a variety of sports tend to have fewer injuries and play longer, thereby maintaining a higher level of physical activity than those who specialize before puberty. In addition to the potential for repetitive microtrauma and overuse injury, specialization in 1 sport may be associated with nutritional and sleep inadequacies, psychological or socialization issues, and ultimately burnout. These problems might be avoided with a balanced lifestyle and a strong support system made up of parents, friends, coaches, and health care providers.

CONCLUSIONS

The major objective in managing repetitive or training injuries in athletes of any age should be to determine risk factors for injury and identify steps to prevent the occurrence of these injuries. Knowledge is growing about...
risk factors for the occurrence of both acute traumatic injuries and repetitive microtrauma overuse injuries in adults, particularly in such activities as military training, work activities, and sports. However, too little is known about risk factors for overuse injury in pediatric athletes.

Injury surveillance in young athletes should be improved to record the occurrence of injury and the determination of associated risk factors, as well as epidemiologic data (e.g., age, sex, height, mass, and, if possible, Tanner stage). Epidemiologic studies in specific environments in pediatric populations would add greatly to the understanding of the risk associated with particular sport activities, thus providing a foundation for future studies of prevention and treatment efficacy.
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### Appendix A. Little League Pitch Count Tracker. Form provided by Little League International, Williamsport, PA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team</th>
<th>Opponent</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pitcher’s Name</td>
<td>Uniform Number</td>
<td>League Age</td>
<td>Cross out the number as that pitch is thrown.</td>
<td>Circle the number for the last pitch thrown in each half-inning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pitching eligibility varies by the league age of the pitcher, which is the pitcher’s age as of May 1 of the current year. The pitching eligibility regulation is Regulation VI (see current rule book for details). A blank electronic version of this form is available for free download at www.littleleague.org.
Appendix B. Little League Pitcher Eligibility Tracker. Form provided by Little League International, Williamsport, PA.

**Little League -- Baseball Pitcher Eligibility Tracking Form**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of Game</td>
<td>Pitches Thrown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pitching eligibility varies by the league age of the pitcher, which is the pitcher's age as of May 1 of the current year. The pitching eligibility regulation is Regulation VI (see current rule book for details). An electronic version of this form is available for free download at www.LittleLeague.org.

* Note: These signatures may be optional as determined by the local league.